

**KEY ADVANTAGES OF CONCENTRATING PHOTOVOLTAICS (CPV) FOR LOWERING LEVELIZED COST OF ELECTRICITY (LCOE)**


Warren Nishikawa, Steve Horne  
 SolFocus inc  
 510 Logue Ave,  
 Mountain View, CA 94043

**ABSTRACT:** The argument is made that the best cost metric for decision making in the solar field is the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE), as adopted by the US Department of Energy and the US National Renewable Energy Laboratory. It is expressed as ¢/kWh, at net present value, and takes into account the complexities associated with the entire lifetime of a solar plant, from financing through to end of life. By comparison, the most common metric, Cost per Watt peak (\$/W<sub>p</sub>), refers to the installed capital equipment price only. Concentrating Photovoltaic Systems, a relatively recent form of solar power are then presented in the light of LCOE, and are shown to be very competitive with other forms of solar plant under suitable conditions.

**1 THE CASE FOR LCOE**

The Photovoltaic industry is rapidly maturing, and in many markets has passed the point of early adoption. In these markets, cost, margin, lifetime and reliability dominate over technology when decisions are being made. Especially in Europe, a photovoltaic plant is considered a financial instrument, and the constituents of the value chain are solely engaged with maximizing margin and revenue, and minimizing cost.

The classic definition of cost or price has been Dollars per Watt peak (\$/W<sub>p</sub>). It is relatively easy to calculate and understand, and is the quantity most quoted in the industry. A schematic of the calculation is below, fig 1.



**Fig1:** Cost definition (\$/W)

While the above is a significant factor when marketing solar plant, as a financial metric it has significant limitations, especially when attempting to evaluate return on investment or when making cross-technology decisions. It does not take into account all major parameters involved with installing and operating photovoltaic plant, and it is a “fixed time” calculation – under normal circumstances it does not account for inflation or the cost of financing over the project lifetime.

A more accurate assessment of financial performance, the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) was adopted several years ago by the National Renewable Energy (NREL) and the United States Department of Energy (DOE). Significantly, it was used as one of the metrics for evaluating and driving the goals for the Solar America Initiative, a novel and efficient grant program begun by DOE in 2005. In coordination with mounting the initiative, NREL produced the Solar Advisor Model (SAM)<sup>2</sup>, which models the cost of any photovoltaic power station in terms of LCOE.

The LCOE takes into account installation and commissioning costs, operations and maintenance, degradation and lifetime, and the output. It calculates the

average value of the total energy produced, revalued at the time of calculation based on forward assessments of inflation and costs of financing.

SolFocus has adopted LCOE as its dominant metric, and has been refining a model to help drive decisions both with customers, and internally.

**1.1 The Definition of LCOE**


The formal definition of LCOE<sup>1</sup> is given as:

$$LCOE = TLCC / \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [Q_n / (1 + d)^n] \right\}$$

Where:

- LCOE = levelized cost of energy
- TLCC = total life-cycle cost
- Q<sub>n</sub> = Energy output in year n
- N = Analysis period
- d = Discount rate

In simplified terms, it can be thought of as:



**Fig 2:** Cost definition, LCOE (¢/kWh)

It is, in effect, the average cost of every unit of energy produced by a generator across its entire lifetime, brought back to the value of that unit of energy determined at the time of the analysis.

**1.2 The advantages of using LCOE**

LCOE takes into account the energy generated by the plant over its entire lifetime and not the peak power. So technologies that have a larger kWh/kW<sub>p</sub> ratio will have their actual costs better presented. For example, tracked photovoltaic systems will harvest a greater number of kWh during the day because their active surface is presented to the sun at the optimum angle the entire time – they don’t suffer from “cosine loss”. (see figure 3). Trackers cost more than the fixed tilt structures though, so despite harvesting approximately 40% more energy,

the tracked system LCOE will reflect only a portion of that improvement. If a project manager is considering a tracked system, the LCOE calculation will put an upper bound on the tracker cost.




Fig 3: Fixed tilt VS tracked PV, energy harvest

Presenting the energy costs at net present value accounts for financing, depreciation, inflation and other economic realities when considering an investment, and will allow a comparison to be made between different technologies, for example wind or photovoltaics. Significantly, it also allows a comparison to be made between different funding scenarios, which can have a sizeable impact on average costs.

Finally, given that the operator of a plant is paid based on the energy produced, LCOE will give the best available estimate at the decision time, of the return on the investment for any particular product or design.

## 2 CPV AND LCOE

### 2.1 Key advantages of CPV

Concentrators or CPV systems use optics to efficiently harness a large area of light onto a small photovoltaic cell. One of their key ratings is the *concentration ratio*, usually expressed in “suns”. The SolFocus 1000S concentrator uses a high concentration ratio of 500 Suns: the light energy of 500 square centimeters is entrained onto a 1 square centimeter cell.

There are a number of advantages of concentrator systems over non-concentrating or one sun systems, and a several added costs as well. Only a good LCOE calculation will allow the complexities to be seen in an overall sense, and allow decisions to be made as to their use. The LCOE model SolFocus maintains takes into account all of these tradeoffs, the major ones being described below.

CPV systems, because of their optics, must be tracked. SolFocus’ 1000S has a field of view or *acceptance angle* of approximately +/- 1° which, while very lenient for our concentration ratio, demands a high performance tracker. So the ratio between higher harvesting capabilities and higher tracker costs described above apply. SolFocus’ model takes this into account, and includes the cost and time associated with ground preparation, installation and commissioning the trackers.

The high performance triple junction cells used by SolFocus have a lower coefficient of temperature degradation than Silicon, and so can be operated at higher ambient temperatures. Many sites appropriate to CPV have daytime temperatures in excess of 45C, which will significantly degrade the output of Silicon systems.

Figure 4 presents experimental data on the degradation





Fig 4: SolFocus 1000S temperature degradation rate of the 1000S. The array power coefficient is approximately  $-0.17\%/^{\circ}\text{C}$ , while a typical Silicon panel exhibits  $-0.48\%/^{\circ}\text{C}$ . SolFocus’ LCOE model takes this characteristic into account, and the model is run on every installation proposal using local weather data.

The development of high efficiency cells, typified by the triple junction design first described by NREL<sup>3</sup>, has recently dramatically accelerated. In addition to refinements to the multijunction design, new and interesting physics are being investigated by several new companies. Alongside this increase in technical activity, interest has been shown by the high volume manufacturing community, and as a result, it is expected that over the next few years the absolute cost of these cells will decrease. The LCOE calculation and associated model enables SolFocus to assess the potential impact these developments have on the competitiveness of our product, and allows us to plot a cost reduction roadmap to drive marketing, engineering and manufacturing activities.

### 3.0 CPV COST REDUCTION ROADMAP

With the key advantages of kWh/kWp and improved temperature performance, the true value of CPV systems is best represented by the LCOE in cents/kWh. Shown in Figure 5, a CPV industry cost reduction roadmap has been created based on improvements in panel efficiency (translating to increased energy generation) and system costs. The LCOE of CPV systems are plotted along with PV industry price and cost data for fixed tilted systems. The common factors such as cost of capital, inverter replacement costs, and solar resource and others are normalized to the same assumptions. The high DNI region of Phoenix, AZ, USA, was chosen because of the vast potential of CPV in the US southwest and is used by the DOE as the reference for LCOE capabilities for technologies being developed under the Solar America Initiative (SAI).



Based on panel efficiency and manufacturing volume improvements, CPV has the ability to rapidly decrease the cents/kWh beyond to that of conventional fix tilt Silicon systems and leading edge PV systems. With targets defined for panel efficiency and installed system cost, CPV has a pathway to break through average PV costs of electricity in 2009 with lead in industry toward grid parity by the end of 2010.

### 3 CONCLUSION

*LCOE is the best method for estimating true costs of building and operating a PV plant.*

*CPV, in areas of high DNI, is very competitive against other forms of PV. This is clearly demonstrated by calculating LCOE.*

### 3 REFERENCES

- [1] W. Short, D. J. Packey, T. Holt, "A Manual for the Economic Evaluation of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Technologies", NREL/TP-462-5173, March 1995
- [2] <https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/sam/>
- [3] S.R. Kurtz, D. Myers and J.M. Olson, "Projected Performance of Three- and Four-Junction Device Using GaAs and GaInP," Presented at the 26th Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, Anaheim, CA, Sep. 30-Oct. 3, 1997.

Your original paper in **A4 format** has to be submitted between the afternoon of **Sunday, 31st August and Thursday, 4th September 2008** to the Manuscript Delivery Desk at the Feria Valencia – Convention & Exhibition Centre in Valencia, Spain. If the manuscript is not available during the Conference, your paper **cannot be published** in the Proceedings.

The **electronic version** of your paper has to be submitted **at the Conference** on a CD-ROM labeled with your session code (as indicated in the Conference Programme Booklet).

The document must be submitted in both **Microsoft Word** and **Adobe Acrobat PDF** formats. You may rest assured that we do not make any changes in your manuscripts. The necessity of providing us with a copy in Word format is to allow us the option to convert the

Word document into PDF if we encounter difficulties opening your PDF version.

The **hard copy** of the paper must be delivered **at the Conference**, together with the **completed Copyright Transfer Agreement Form** and the **CD-ROM** containing the Word and Adobe Acrobat PDF version of your paper.

The session code and page number should be written in the upper right-hand corner **on the back of all pages** of the manuscript.

**Please make sure that the paper you submit is the final version. Do not submit the paper more than once.**

Authors are requested to register for the Conference and **must present the Confirmation of Payment at the Manuscript Delivery Desk.**

The **Conference Registration Form** is available on the website [www.photovoltaic-conference.com](http://www.photovoltaic-conference.com).

## 2 CPV AND LCOE

### 2.1 Volume of paper

The complete paper should not exceed **600KB** for Oral and Visual Presentations, and **800 KB** for Plenary Presentations, **including illustrations**. This is a very good proven capacity for final papers. We ask for your understanding that for every additional 200 KB a fee of EUR 50 will have to be paid at the Cash Counter for exceeding the maximum volume allowed, in order to cover supplementary costs of the proceedings.

### 2.2 Organisation of the paper

The **title** of the paper should be informative and concise. It should be followed by the author(s) name(s), giving the principle author first, organisation, address, telephone, fax and email address.

Precede the body of your paper with an **abstract** of 100 to 200 words, giving a brief account of the most relevant aspects of your paper.

Next, in order of importance, select the three most relevant **keywords** from the enclosed list of keywords and include them in your paper, separating them with commas. You may use additional keywords in case a keyword you would like to use does not appear on the list.

The body of the text must be in **two columns**. Number each heading using decimal numbering. Follow the layout specifications in section 3 below.

## 3 LAYOUT SPECIFICATIONS

**The layout of your paper should have exactly the same format as these notes.**

Select the printer option "Acrobat Distiller" (version 5.0) or Adobe PDF (version 6.0) before starting to work on this document in order to avoid accidental displacement of layout elements afterwards when converting the Word file into PDF format. Please abstain from freeware products which are not 100% compatible with Adobe PDF. Should you not have the above technical requirements, please contact us (see 6.3).

### 3.1 Fonts and type size

Font type: Times New Roman. Font size: 9pt. Line spacing: single. Text alignment: justified left and right. Captions should be the same font and size as the typeface used for the text. Make sure that illustrations are clear and easy to read. Please do not use any other font than Times New Roman.

### 3.2 Page size

Page size must be A4 (210 mm x 297 mm).

Margins: top: 32mm; bottom: 19mm; left: 25mm; right: 25mm. Text or images can't be outside these margins. The body of the text must be in two equal columns. The width of the columns should be 73,6mm.

### 3.3 Layout of the text

Begin at the top of the first page with the title of the paper, in capital letters, bold and centered. Leave one blank line between the title and the name of the author(s), and list the surname preceded by the initial of the first name. On the following lines, give the name of the company or institute, wherever applicable, and the full address. When several authors prepare a paper, the name of the principal author should appear first and the name of every institute should be easy to depict. Next, leave two blank lines and then type an abstract of no more than 200 words (indent the whole block as at the top of this document). At the end of the abstract, give your list of keywords. Leave another two blank lines between the abstract and the body of the paper, which should be typed in two columns.

### 3.4 Headings

Leave two blank lines before each section and one blank line before the heading of each sub-section. Headings and sub-headings should be numbered (e.g. 3, 3.1, 3.2). Separate the numbers from the text of the heading with two spaces. There should be no blank line after the title of the sub-sections, only an indentation to indicate the beginning of a paragraph. Section headings should be in capital letters, sub-section headings in upper and lower case. Headings should be normal text – not underlined or in bold.

## 4 ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS

### 4.1 Illustrations

Illustrations (drawings, graphs, photographs, etc.) should not exceed 50% of the whole paper and should be placed near their citation. Accepted formats are: .jpg, .bmp and .gif.

Illustrations should have a resolution of **300 dpi**, using simple colours (Standard + RGB) and be placed at **100% scale** (i.e. if an illustration covers the full column width, it should be of approx. 860 pixels). **Illustrations should not be taken from previously-printed material.**

Illustrations should have the layout in line with the text (right click on object - format object – layout – in line with text, which should be the left-most option in the layout dialogue window).


Figures must be numbered (e.g. Fig. 1) in the text and in the figure caption. Captions should be clear enough to allow comprehension of the illustration without reference to the text.

Graphs must not be imported from Excel, but be inserted as a picture (.jpg, .bmp or .gif). Please use


simple contrasting colours (Standard + RGB) instead of fill patterns (see good/bad example).

Please make sure that the **PDF** file size of the final document does not exceed **600 KB** (Orals & Visuals) or **800 KB** (Plenaries). If your document size should be larger than this, verify the resolution and scale down the inserted images.

Good example with contrasting colours:



Bad example with fill patterns:



**Figure 1:** Clear line drawings are essential

### 4.2 Tables

Tabular presentation of data is an easy way of condensing many items. Refer to tables by using Roman numerals. All tables must be numbered and captioned (see Table I).

**Table I:** Standing waves ratio

	110MHz	120MHz	130MHz
Standing waves ratio	1.86	1.73	1.40
Reflection coefficient	0.32	0.28	0.16
Phase	3.78	3.89	4.02

### 4.3 References

References should not appear as footnotes but should be gathered together at the end of the text. When referring to them in the text, type the corresponding reference number in brackets [1]. References should be numbered:

- [1] M. Smith, A. Miller, Proceedings 17<sup>th</sup> European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, Vol. I (2002) 903.
- [2] K.W. Boer, Solar Cells 16 (1996) 591.

## 5 SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR THE PDF VERSION

### 5.1 Printer

Select Acrobat Distiller (version 5.0) or Adobe PDF (version 6.0) as the printer option to convert your Word

file into PDF version.

Go to printer properties and click on “Acrobat PDF settings”, then choose as conversion settings: “Press” quality.

## 5.2 Password / Security

**Please do not use passwords nor security when making your PDF file.** You may rest assured that we do not make any changes in your manuscripts. As the header and page numbering will be added by us, we need to ensure that your PDF file is not password protected.

## 6 OTHER POINTS

### 6.1 Permissions

Authors are fully responsible for their papers. They must take the necessary steps to obtain permission for using any material that might be protected by copyright.

### 6.2 Copyright

Please be aware that on delivery of your manuscript, you transfer the **copyright** to WIP, publisher of the proceedings.

### 6.3 Further information

Papers must be submitted to the Manuscript Delivery Desk at the Conference. All authors must supply a printout of their paper and the completed Copyright Transfer Agreement Form in order to be published in the Conference Proceedings. Authors have to register for the Conference and must present their Confirmation of Payment at the desk.

All requests regarding the preparation of the paper should be addressed to:

WIP-Renewable Energies

attn. Anna Werner

Tel. +49-89-720 12 732, Fax +49-89-720 12 791

E-mail: [pv.manuscripts@wip-munich.de](mailto:pv.manuscripts@wip-munich.de)

## 7 SUMMARY OF THESE NOTES

- Use this layout as a guide to write your paper. Write your paper directly on this document and **save it with the name of the session code.**
- Select the printer option “Acrobat Distiller” (version 5.0) or Adobe PDF (version 6.0) before starting to work on this document.
- Write your paper in English, A4 format, Font Times New Roman, 9 pt.
- Submit your paper at the Conference on a CD-ROM, both in Microsoft Word and Adobe Acrobat PDF format.
- Submit the hard copy of your paper (printed from the PDF version) at the Conference.
- **Submit only the final version of your paper.**
- Use contrasted pictures/illustrations placed at 100% (do not resize them in Microsoft Word), 300 dpi with Standard + RGB colors in .jpg, .bmp or .gif format.
- The size of the final document in PDF can not be larger than 600 KB for Oral and Visual Papers and 800 KB for Plenary Papers.

If you need any further assistance, please contact us.

Thank you very much for your kind attention.

The Publisher